



Scalable, trustEd, and interoperAble pLatform for sEcureD smart GRID

Sealed GRID

WP1 Project Management and Coordination

Deliverable D1.4 “Mid-term review meeting”

Editor(s): Christos Xenakis (UPRC), Nikos Passas (UPRC)

Author(s): Christos Xenakis (UPRC), Nikos Passas (UPRC)

Dissemination Level: PU

Nature: R

Version: 1.0

SealedGRID Project Profile

Contract Number	777996
Acronym	SealedGRID
Title	Scalable, trustEd, and interoperAble pLatform for sEcureD smart GRID
Start Date	January 1 st , 2018
Duration	48 Months

Partners

 UNIVERSITY OF PIRAEUS	University of Piraeus Research Centre	Greece
 UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA	Universidad de Malaga	Spain
 Beia CONSULT INTERNATIONAL	BEIA consult International SRL	Romania
 NEUROsoft	NEUROSOSFT Software Productions SA	Greece
 cniit	National Inter-University Consortium for Telecommunications	Italy
 FOGUS INNOVATIONS & SERVICES	Fogus Innovations & Services P.C.	Greece

Document History

Version	Date	Author	Remarks
0.1	01/10/2019	UPRC	Initial table of contents
0.2	1/11/2019	UPRC	First draft
1	27/02/2020	UPRC	Final version

Executive Summary

The purpose of this report, as part of the WP1, is to summarize and describe the Mid-term meeting of the SealedGRID project. Section 1 briefly provides an overview of the SealedGRID Mid-term review meeting. Section 2 provides useful the Project Officer’s comments and recommendations, as well as the SealedGRID consortium’s actions. Section 3 concludes the document.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	2
List of Tables	4
1 Mid-Term Meeting, UPRC, Piraeus, Greece	5
2 Agency’s opinion and recommendations	6
3 Conclusions	8

List of Tables

Table 1 Agenda - Mid-Term Meeting	5
Table 2 List of participants - Mid-term review meeting	6
Table 3 Agency's opinion and recommendations	6

1 Mid-Term Meeting, UPRC, Piraeus, Greece

The Mid-Term meeting was organized by the UPRC in Piraeus at the M21. All partners were represented physically. All seconded researchers were present. Each secondee presented her/his work and the gains for the secondment. Also, the project Advisor participated and provided valuable comments. Researchers from UPRC presented the reference platform architecture, the utilized technologies, the use-cases and the technical and non-technical requirements as well as the status of the key-management module was presented. Moreover, they presented the status of the trusted computing module and how they would achieve its implementation. In addition, the status of the dissemination progress was presented including the participating events, publications and analytics from the website as well as the social media accounts. Furthermore, the UMA researchers presented the current status of the authorization component. Each seconded researcher presented his/her contribution to the project (involved WPs, Tasks, Deliverables, Papers, Events). The Project Advisor discussed with the secondees their experience and their achievements in the hosting premises. Moreover, he expressed his comments and recommendations to the project consortium. Below the meeting agenda and the list of participants are presented:

Table 1 Agenda - Mid-Term Meeting

Item	Topic	Time	Leader (Contributor)
17th September 2019			
1	Introduction to SealedGRID project & the review process.	9:00 – 9:15	UPRC/REA
2	Tour de table: Each scientist-in-charge should briefly present their research team and describe their role within the project.	9:15 - 9:30	All beneficiaries
3	Coordinator’s report: Management, Scientific overview, Training, ToK, and networking, Impact, Secondments plan	9:30-10:30	UPRC
4	Coffee break	10:30 – 11:00	
5	WP leader’s report: Objectives, Tasks, Deliverables, Future plans	11:00 – 12:00	WP leaders (WP2,3,4,5)
6	Individual reports: Fellows will present themselves, their background, their work, their experiences and expected impact of the action on their future career	12:00-13:00	Fellows
7	Lunch break	13:00 – 14:00	
8	Individual reports (cont.)	14:00 – 15:00	Fellows
9	Coffee break	15:00 – 15:15	
9	Meeting between the fellows and the REA representative	15:15 – 16:00	REA, fellows
10	Open discussion and recommendations to the	16:00 – 16:45	All

	project for its continuation		beneficiaries / REA
11	Any other business, closing of the meeting	16:45 – 17:00	UPRC

No.	Name	Organization	17.09.2019
1	Christos Xenakis	UPRC	YES
2	Alexandra Dritsa	UPRC	YES
3	Panagiotis Bountakas	UPRC	YES
4	Christoforos Ntnatogian	UPRC	YES
5	Nikolaos Koutroumpouchos	UPRC	YES
6	Farnaz Mohammadi	UPRC	YES
7	Gheorghe Suciu	BEIA	YES
8	George Suciu	BEIA	YES
9	Cristiana Istrate	BEIA	YES
10	Razvan-alexandru Vulpe	BEIA	YES
11	Victor Suciu	BEIA	YES
12	Claudia Constantin	BEIA	YES
13	Enuta-mihaela Plechi	BEIA	YES
14	Cristina Alcaraz	UMA	YES
15	Davide Ferraris	UMA	YES
16	Antonio Muñoz	UMA	YES
17	Ruben Rios del pozo	UMA	YES (remotely)
18	Javier Lopez	UMA	YES
19	Aristeidis Farao	NEURO	YES
20	Vaios Bolgouras	NEURO	YES
21	Vasileios Tsoikas	NEURO	YES
22	Rodrigo Gutierrez Dominguez	REA	YES

Table 2 List of participants - Mid-term review meeting

2 Agency’s opinion and recommendations

The following table presents the Agency’s opinion and recommendations.

Table 3 Agency's opinion and recommendations

Recommended Action	
1.	To upload a new Progress Report 1 .
2.	To update the Risk Management with a risk concerning the addition of a new beneficiary because there is a reassignment of tasks and resources within the consortium.
3.	To add a Summary for Publication in the Reporting System.
4.	To update the policy related information, SME impact and Gender balance.
Recommendations concerning the period under review	

The Agency's recommendations to be implemented are the following:

1. [UPRC] The progress report should provide more information about the secondments and risk management.
2. [UPRC] The SME Impact information is missing.
3. [UPRC] Gender information is not provided
4. [UPRC] Summary for Publication is not provided
5. [UPRC] Risk Management is not updated-> need to add a new beneficiary should be considered as a risk

The actions and the respective consortium’s response are depicted in the following Table.

No.	Action	Response
1	To upload a new Progress Report 1.	UPRC re-submitted on 02/01/2020 the deliverable D1.2 which contains the following alternations: a) the new risks are described in the Section 6 b) more details about the secondees of the first year were presented in the Section 4.
2	To update the Risk Management with a risk concerning the addition of a new beneficiary because there is a resignation of tasks and resources within the consortium.	UPRC updated the risk management by adding new risks. Furthermore, the new risks are described in the Section 6. Also, the new risks are added in the tab “Critical Risk” on the EU website ec.europa.eu .
3	To add a Summary for Publication in the Reporting System.	UPRC updated the respective tab on the EU website ec.europa.eu in order to complete this recommendation.
4	To update the policy related information, SME impact and Gender balance.	UPRC updated the respective tabs on the EU website ec.europa.eu in order to complete this recommendation.
No.	Recommendations	Response
1	The progress report should provide more information about the secondments and risk management.	The progress report contains more detailed information about the secondees and their contribution to the SealedGRID project. This information is presented in the Section 4 of the deliverable D1.1.

2	The SME Impact information is missing.	UPRC updated the respective tab on the EU website ec.europa.eu in order to complete this recommendation.
3	Gender information is not provided	UPRC updated the respective tab on the EU website ec.europa.eu in order to complete this recommendation. SealedGRID aims to maintain the gender balance and to promote the gender equality to the consortium, the secondees and the EU.
4	Summary for Publication is not provided	UPRC updated the respective tab on the EU website ec.europa.eu in order to complete this recommendation.
5	Risk Management is not updated-> need to add a new beneficiary should be considered as a risk	UPRC updated the risk management by adding new risks which are presented in the tab “Critical Risk” on the EU website ec.europa.eu . The new risks contain, present and communicate the need for new partners.

3 Conclusions

The Mid-term meeting occurred in 21M in Piraeus and was organized by UPRC. The meeting was successfully and completed without any issues. The participants discussed in a friendly atmosphere in order to take the project to a higher level. Project Advisor’s comments and recommendations were pertinent in order to help the consortium in managerial issues.